



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/608,887 | 06/26/2003 | Eran Steinberg | FN102-B | 7820 |
| 30349 | 7590 | 07/09/2007 | EXAMINER | |
| JACKSON & CO., LLP | | | MADDEN, GREGORY VINCENT | |
| 6114 LA SALLE AVENUE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| #507 | | | 2622 | |
| OAKLAND, CA 94611-2802 | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/09/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/608,887 | STEINBERG ET AL. |
| | Examiner Gregory V. Madden | Art Unit 2622 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gregory V. Madden. (3) Andrew Smith.
 (2) Ngoc Yen Vu. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 June 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1, 5, and corresponding claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ray et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,940,545).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner recommended amending claim 1 at part (e) to recite "including adjusting said values of relative exposure...of said face". Examiner further recommended the claim be further amended to emphasize that only the relative exposure of the face be adjusted, as opposed to adjusting the exposure of the entire scene.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



NGOC-YEN VU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required